



Funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Educational Association, z. s.

Summary of the “Multiplier Event” evaluation questionnaires

**NAME OF THE ACTIVITY: E6 - Conference - Support of
entrepreneurship education across Europe**

Date: 26. 6. 2018

Place: PARKHOTEL PRŮHONICE, Uhříněveská 12/12, 252 43 Průhonice

Actual participant number: 50 participants

Intellectual outputs covered: IO1, IO2, IO3

Activity Leading Organisation: EA

Participating Organisations: BEFO, ISQ, BEST

Total respondents = 50

The main reason for attending the multiplier event


Content – Intellectual output, project = 40

Networking = 10

Personal growth & development = 39

Facilitator = 3


Other =0



Respondents' answers show that the most important reason of attending the event was content of the conference (intellectual outputs and project) – 44%, their own personal growth and development (42% of the answers). Networking and content - project and intellectual output have also been important reasons for some of the participants (14%).

Success rate of the Multiplier Event: (scaling 1-5, where 1- lowest, 5-highest evaluation)

5 = 28 4 = 18 3 = 4 2 = 0 1 = 0



92% of respondents rated the multiplier event positively with 36% agreeing and 56% of respondents giving it the highest rating. 8% of respondents rated it with a more neutral 3.

When asked whether they were overall satisfied with the event, its venue and presentations, all participants responded positively by marking the answer “yes”.

Evaluation of the Multiplier Event Content:

Information about the goals of the event


5 = 25

4 = 22

3 = 3

2=0

1=0



50% of the participants gave the highest evaluation score and 44% participants rated the information provided about the goals of the event with 4. It can be concluded that the participants were well informed about the goals of the event they were attending. Only 6% rated it with a more neutral 3.

The event lived up to my expectations


5 = 22

4 = 25

3 = 3

2=0

1=0



44% of the participants strongly agreed that the event had corresponded to their expectations while 50% participants evaluated the statement with score 4 and 6% evaluated it with a more neutral score 3. The results show that the event mostly met the participants' expectations.

The content is relevant to my job


5 = 20

4 = 22

3 = 7

2=1

1=0



Asked about the relevance of the content of the event to participants' occupation, 84% of participants answered positively with 44% marking that they agree and 40%



strongly agreeing to this statement. 14% marked that they neither agreed nor disagreed with this statement, 2% did not agree (1 participant).

Evaluation of the Multiplier Event Design

The event objectives were clear to me


5 = 19

4 = 30

3 = 1

2=0

1=0



88% of respondents answered positively with 60% marking that the objectives were very clear and 38% marking that they were clear. 1 participant (2%) neither agreed nor disagreed to the statement. It can be concluded that most of the participants were well informed and aware of the multiplier event objectives.

The activities in this event gave me sufficient practice and feedback


5 = 18

4 = 28

3 = 4

2=0

1=0



92% of responders agreed that there was enough practice and feedback in the event activities with 36% strongly agreeing to the statement. 4 persons (8%) neither agreed nor disagreed with the statement and there were no negative responses.

Evaluation of the Multiplier Event Facilitator

The facilitator was well prepared


5 = 21

4 = 25

3 = 4

2 = 0

1=0



92% of respondents agreed that facilitator was well prepared with 42% strongly agreeing to the statement. 4 persons (8%) neither agreed nor disagreed with the statement and there were no negative responses.

The facilitator was helpful


5 = 27

4 = 19

3 = 2

2 = 2

1 = 0



Most of all respondents (92%) agreed that the facilitator was helpful with 54% of the respondents giving the highest evaluation. 4% neither agreed nor disagreed with the statement and there were 2 negative responses (4%).



The evaluation of the Intellectual Output Design

The intellectual output objectives were clear to me


5 = 22

4 = 25

3 = 2

2 = 1

1=0



The results show that all respondents understood the intellectual output objectives with 44% strongly agreeing and 50% agreeing to the statement. 4% neither agreed nor disagreed with the statement and there was 1 negative response (4%).

The activities in this event gave me sufficient practice and feedback to intellectual output


5 = 20

4 = 23

3 = 7

2 = 0

1=0



86% of survey participants agreed that they had had enough practice and feedback on the intellectual output with 40% participants strongly agreeing and 46% participants agreeing to the statement. 14% respondents neither agreed nor disagreed with the statement and there were no negative responses.

The evaluation of the Multiplier Event / Intellectual Outputs Results:

Accomplishment the objectives of this multiplier event / intellectual output


5 = 25

4 = 22

3 = 3

2 = 0

1=0



Most of all respondents answered positively; 44% of participants strongly agreed and 50% of participants agreed that they had accomplished the objectives of the multiplier event. 6% of respondents neither agreed nor disagreed with the statement and there were no negative responses.



Using what I learned in this multiplier event / about intellectual output


5 = 19

4 = 23

3 = 8

2 = 0

1 = 0



84% of respondents agreed that they will be able to use the knowledge they had gained at the multiplier event; 38% of them agreed strongly to this statement. 16% of participants neither agreed nor disagreed with this statement.

Multiplier event / intellectual output was a good way for me to learn this content


5 = 20

4 = 27

3 = 3

2 = 0

1=0



Most of all respondents agreed that the multiplier event had been a good way to learn its content; 40% of respondents strongly agreed and 54% agreed with this statement.

Discussions were initiated by the speaker after each presentation. There have been also questions proactively coming from the participants. The aim of doing it this way was to gather impressions given by the corresponding intellectual output. At the end of the conference the audience was invited to give feedback to the ENTER project intellectual outputs.

IO1– comprehensive, analytical, useful research;

IO2 - applicable for broad range of target groups, good proactive, the structure is well done, sufficient level of education, competences are acquired, practical tools for teachers/trainers, the competences and the levels described are realistic, the assessment procedures are clear and transparent;

IO3 - very good and useful tool; simple, user friendly and sustainable, it provides easy and quick access to relevant information and tools about entrepreneurship education.

Suggestions for improvements.

Provide better information before the multiplier event. = 3

Clarify the multiplier event objectives. = 0

Enter



Funded by the
Erasmus+ Programme
of the European Union



Educational Association, z. s.

Clarify the project objectives. = 1

Clarify the intellectual output objectives. = 1

Make multiplier event activities more stimulating. = 0

Improve multiplier event organization. = 1